PTO/SB/30 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMP positive. work Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collect Under the Pon

REQUEST **FOR**

INUED EXAMINATION (RCE) **TRANSMITTAL**

Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000, provides for continued examination of an utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995.

See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA).

ction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
Application Number	09/769,363		
Filing Date	January 26, 2001		
First Named Inventor	Yoshihiro ISHIDA et al.		
Group Art Unit	2624		
Examiner Name	T. Pham		
Attorney Docket Number	03560.002725		

<u>NOTE</u> : 37 continued prose See Changes to	at for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. 7 C.F.R. § 1.114 is effective on May 29, 2000. If the above-identific ecution application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d) (PTO/SB/29) it to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, Fir), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47 (Apr. 11, 2000), which established in the control of the cont	ed application instead of a Ri nal Rule, 65 Fe	was filed prior to May 29, 2000, appl CE to be eligible for the patent term a	icant may wish to consider filing a adjustment provisions of the AIPA.			
1. Submission	required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114						
а. 🔲 Р	reviously submitted						
i. [
ii. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on Other							
I —	nclosed	1	_				
i. 🗆	Amendment/Reply	r	08/05/2005 SZEWDIE1 000000	63 09769363			
ii. 📮	Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)		01 FC:1801	790.00 OP			
iii. 📮	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)						
	Other Request for Reconsideration						
2. Miscellaned		tion is too	upstod upder 37 C E D S 1	103(c) for a period of			
a. Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) required)							
ь. 🗆 о							
3. Fees The RCE fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when the RCE is filed.							
	he Director is hereby authorized to charge any defi	ciencies in	the following fees, or credit	any overpayments, to			
a. The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies in the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 06-1205							
i ii. iii. 🗆	RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) Extension of time fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and Other		_				
b. 🚾 с	Check in the amount of \$		is enclosed				
c. 🗆 P	Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed)						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED							
Name (Print/Type)	Brian L. Klock	Registration	on No. (Attorney/Agent)	36,570			
Signature	Calle	Date	August 4, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION							
I hereby certify that thi Stop RCE, Commission	is correspondence is being deposited with the United States Posta oner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or fa	Service with csimile transn	sufficient postage as first class mail nitted to the U.S. Patent and Tradem	in an envelope addressed to: Mail ark Office on:			
Name (Print/Type))	;	· T				
Signature		Date		f the individual case. Any			
Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Director of the U.S.P.T.O., P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND Fees and Completed Forms to the following address: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.							

Form #161

OF THE PARTY OF

03560.002725

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Examiner: T. Pham	
YOSHIHIRO ISHIDA, ET AL.			
Appln. No.: 09/769,363	;	Group Art Unit: 2624	
Filed: January 26, 2001)		
For: IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS EFFECTIVE FOR PREVENTING COUNTERFEITING OF A COPY-PROHIBITED OBJECT	; ; ;	August 4, 2005	

Mail Stop: RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 4, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of this application in view of the comments below.

Claims 1-23 are pending in this application, with Claims 1, 12, and 23 being independent.

Claims 1-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,621,810 (Suzuki et al.) in combination with U.S. Patent No. 5,465,172 (Fukushima et al.). With respect to the feature of determining whether to output a signal urging re-input of the image data in accordance with the difficulty of determining whether the image data represents a specific image, as recited in Claims 1, 12, and 23, the Examiner asserts that Fukushima et al. discloses re-input determination means and that it would have been obvious to modify Suzuki et al. in view of the teachings of Fukushima et al. Applicants respectfully traverse this position.

Fukushima et al. merely discloses re-reading a document if the first reading is abnormal. However, Applicants submit that Fukushima et al. does not disclose or suggest determining whether to output a signal urging re-input of image data in accordance with a difficulty of determining whether the image data represents a specific image. In particular, that patent is silent as to any measurement or assessment of the degree of difficulty of determining whether image data represents a specific image. Urging re-input based on whether a reading is abnormal is not the same as urging re-input based on the difficulty of determining whether an image represents a specific image.

Moreover, Applicants submit that the <u>Suzuki et al.</u> patent teaches away from this feature. According to that patent, a security pattern can be detected regardless of the orientation of the original on a platen. Therefore, re-input of the image data would not be needed and one skilled in the art would not be led to modify that patent based on the teachings of <u>Fukushima et al.</u> to output a signal urging re-input in accordance with the difficulty of determining whether image data represents a specific image.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the present invention recited in independent Claims 1, 12, and 23 is patentable over the cited art. The dependent claims are believed patentable for at least the same reasons as the independent claims, as well as for the additional features they recite.

Applicants also request consideration of the reference cited in the accompanying Information Disclosure Statement. JP 10-327323 discloses comparing a compression rate of reading an image to a predetermined upper limit, determining a threshold level of binarization to be inadequate if the rate is higher than the upper limit, and performing the image reading process again after resetting the threshold level. However, Applicants submit that JP 10-327323 does not disclose or suggest determining whether or not the image data obtained by an image-data input means represents a specific

image having predetermined characteristics. Therefore, Applicants submit that the pending claims are also patentable over that document.

In view of the foregoing, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration, withdrawal of the outstanding rejection, and an early Notice of Allowance are requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian L. Klock

Attorney for Applicants Registration No.: 36,570

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3800

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

BLK/mls